Original Article



Identification and Exploration of Transcripts Involved in Antibiotic Resistance Mechanism of Two Critical Superbugs



Swayamprabha Sahoo¹, Jatindra Nath Mohanty², Sweta Padma Routray¹, Sejal Shah³, Jayashankar Das⁴ and Tripti Swarnkar^{5*}

¹Center for Biotechnology, Siksha 'O' Anusandhan Deemed to be University, Bhubaneswar, India; ²School of Applied Sciences, Centurion University of Technology and Management, Ramachandrapur, Odisha, India; ³Department of Bioinformatics, Faculty of Technology, Marwadi University, Rajkot, India; ⁴Valnizen Healthcare, Vile Parle, Mumbai, India; ⁵Department of Computer Application, Siksha 'O' Anusandhan Deemed to be University, Bhubaneswar, India

Received: April 28, 2023 | Revised: July 03, 2023 | Accepted: October 15, 2023 | Published online: January 22, 2024

Abstract

Background and objectives: Infectious diseases caused by pathogenic strains of bacteria are a global cause of morbidity and mortality. Hospital-acquired infections caused by *Klebsiella pneumonia* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were found vulnerable during the COVID-19 pandemic. They are also responsible for the onset of certain life-threatening infectious diseases such as cystic fibrosis, endocarditis, bacteremia, and sepsis. Looking into the importance of these two superbugs there is a strong need for extensive comparative differential gene expression analysis among the wild-type and mutant for betterment of intensive care unit patients especially as such pathogenic bacterial strains have a dangerous role in the intensive care unit.

Methods: This study revealed the RNA microarray gene expression profiles of *GSE24688*, *GSE4026*, and *GSE117438*. The study compared all genes from three different datasets and all drug resistance genes from two divergent organisms, *Klebsiella pneumonia* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Results: 10 numbers of shared significant genes and five drug resistance genes were obtained in this study. These putative genes may show intriguing patterns of connection with resistance mechanisms and can be used in the field of diagnostics and treatment. Our divergent analysis also revealed a very clear distinct relation between *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at the genetic level, though they both function under antimicrobial resistance.

Conclusions: This study enhances the understanding of the genetic basis, providing valuable knowledge for the development of new strategies to combat antibiotic resistance and enhance the efficacy of existing antibiotics.

Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a global epidemic that challenges modern medicine and leading cause of high fatality rates.^{1,2} The World Health Organization (WHO) considered AMR as one of the world's top 10 global public health challenges. According to the WHO, carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PA), carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (KP), and carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* are three criticalpriority pathogens that are resistant to carbapenems and most other penicillins.³ Currently, there is a concerning trend of increasing resistance to colistin, an antibiotic often considered as a last resort option in treating nosocomial infections. It is a global crisis that has remained unchanged and requires immediate attention and ac-

Keywords: Microarray; Gene expression; Antimicrobial resistance; Klebsiella pneumoniae; Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Abbreviations: a.a., amino acid; AMR, antimicrobial resistance; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; CH-OH, Methanol; CTP, Cytidine triphosphate; DEG, differentially expressed gene; DNA-T, DNA- templated; dTDP, Deoxythymidine diphosphate; FMN, flavin mononucleotide; GC, guanine-cytosine; GEO, gene expression omnibus; GMP, guanosine monophosphate; GO, gene ontology; GTP, guanosine-5'-triphosphate; ICM, integral component of membrane; ICU, intensive care unit; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; KP, *Klebsiella pneumonia*; logFC, log fold change; NAD, Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; NADPH, Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate Hydrogen; PA, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; PAPB, Positive regulation of phospholipid biosynthesis; PATRIC, Pathosystems Resource Integration Center; PC, Principal Component; PCA, principal component analysis; PK, polyphosphate kinase; PM, Plasma Membrane; PTS, phosphorelay transduction system; RACS, response to arsenic-containing substance; RG, Resistance Gene; RG, resistance gene; RT, regulation of transcription; TCS, two-component system; UMP, Uridine Monophosphate; UTP, Uridine-5'-triphosphate; WHO, World Health Organization.

^{*}Correspondence to: Tripti Swarnkar, Department of Computer Application, Siksha 'O' Anusandhan Deemed to be University, Bhubaneswar, India. ORCID: https://orcid. org/0000-0002-1853-4874. Tel: +919437130794, E-mail: triptiswarnakar@soa.ac.in How to cite this article: Sahoo S, Mohanty JN, Routray SP, Shah S, Das J, Swarnkar T. Identification and Exploration of Transcripts Involved in Antibiotic Resistance Mechanism of Two Critical Superbugs. *Gene Expr* 2024;23(1):1–14. doi: 10.14218/ GE.2023.00022.

GEO ID	Experiment type	Organism name	Total samples, n	Total strains, n	Total wild- type <i>, n</i>	Total mutants, n
GSE24688	Expression profiling by array	Klebsiella pneumoniae	30	11	6	24
GSE4026	Expression profiling by array	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	30	4	10	20
GSE117438	Expression profiling by array	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	6	2	3	3

Table 1. Details of the microarray datasets used in the gene expression analysis

GEO, gene expression omnibus.

tion.⁴ The mortality for of AMR reached 750,000 per year and may extend to 10 million by 2050. This alarming statistic indicates that AMR poses a greater danger than cancer and diabetes combined.^{5,6} The global death toll from pneumonia is estimated to be 1.575 million.7 Global data show 2.8 million antibiotic-resistant infections occur each year in the USA, resulting in over 35,000 deaths. Similarly, AMR is estimated to cause 25,000 deaths per year in the European Union alone.^{7,8} Antibiotics that can kill antimicrobialresistant superbugs are rapidly running out. AMR is influenced by major three mechanisms, (1) changes in antibiotic membrane permeability, (2) enzymatic breakdown of antibacterial medicines, and (3) changes in antimicrobial target bacterial proteins.9 AMR remains a pressing issue due to the increasing occurrence of drugresistant pathogens that possess novel resistance mechanisms, leading to the emergence of AMR.¹⁰⁻¹² The rapid global spread of multi-resistant and pan-resistant bacteria, which cause infections that are difficult to treat with currently available antimicrobial medicines like antibiotics, is particularly concerning.13,14

KP is becoming progressively difficult to treat with last-line antibiotics. It is a major opportunistic pathogen that causes a variety of infections such as pneumonia, bacteremia, urinary tract infection, and potentially deadly septic shock. It is especially problematic in hospitals, where it causes a variety of acute infections. Since KP was first identified as a pathogen of pneumonia in 1882, it has emerged as the world's second most important clinical pathogen, after Staphylococcus aureus. It is now considered a strain of concern and a serious risk to public health because of the emergence of multidrug-resistant strains associated with hospital outbreaks and hypervirulent strains associated with severe community-acquired infections. As a result, it is becoming extensively important to investigate the pathogenicity mechanism and the virulence control network to prevent and control KP infection.¹⁵ Similarly, PA is a gram-negative, opportunistic pathogen with a broad host range that can be composed of various ecological niches. It is a common cause of many human infectious diseases, including sepsis, acute and chronic infections of the human airways, urinary tract infections, burn infections, and keratitis. It is also responsible for a large proportion of hospital-acquired infections and is linked to nosocomial infections.¹⁶ The most difficult problem with PA is its ability to rapidly develop resistance while treating an infection.¹⁷ The WHO has classified it as a priority-one pathogen and new drugs are seriously needed due to the emergence of multidrug-resistant strains.18

The microarray technique is a powerful tool used for detecting multiple target gene expressions of different organisms and can measure the transcript abundance of 10s of 1000s of genes in biological samples at the same time. It has a lot of advantages for expression profiling, and it may generate huge data, which requires a series of analyses to make it understandable.^{19–21} Microarray data must be correctly analyzed to obtain the optimum result. Computational analysis is essential for processing the data from large-scale expression profiling experiments and establishing the framework for biological understanding.^{22,23} It also has an important role in overcoming barriers to target identification and drug detection and development.¹³ The majority of microarray research strives to distinguish genes and analyze connections between individual genes in signaling pathways and networks to infer the phenotype of genes.^{24–27} Hence, the current study focused on biological differences between different bacterial strains of the group including wild types and mutants. We aimed to perform differential gene expression analysis on three microarray datasets (*GSE24688, GSE4026,* and *GSE117438*). Also, to understand the resistance mechanism and functional enrichment of the genes associated with KA and PA. Despite belonging to different orders the two bacteria work to obtain antibiotic resistance mechanisms. Thus, comparative analysis would open new vistas for the uniqueness of these genes with their actions.

Materials and methods

Data retrieval

The raw data included several microarray data sets obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (commonly known as GEO) public repository. Three microarray datasets (KP, PA1, and PA2) were retrieved, GSE24688, GSE4026, and GSE117438, and the analysis was performed. Details of each dataset are shown in Table 1. Table 1 shows the expression profile of the microarray dataset (GSE24688) of KP, the total number of isolated samples is 30, with 11 different strains, including 6 wild-type samples and 24 mutants. RNA type data were collected for differentially expressed gene (DEG) analysis. The second expression profile of the microarray dataset (GSE4026) of PA has shown the total number of isolated samples was 30, with four different strains, including 10 wildtype samples and 20 mutants. RNA data were collected for DEG analysis. In the third expression profile of the microarray dataset (GSE117438) of PA, the total number of isolated samples was 6, with 2 different strains, including 3 wild-type samples and 3 mutants. RNA data were collected for DEG analysis.

Data processing and identification of DEGs

For each microarray dataset, raw data were downloaded from the GEO database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds) followed by a grouping of wild-type and mutant genes (R version 4.0.0) (Fig. 1). It has shown implementation of the complete preprocessing workflow for microarray analysis. The limma R package was used for background correction and quantile normalization. A nonspecific intensity filtering procedure was then applied to eliminate low probes in each data set based on the probe intensity distribution. For statistical visualization and analysis, we examine the data to determine the extent to which the data are presented. The method we used here estimates that the data are on a log₂ scale, usually in the range of 0 to 16. The "exprs" function can take an expres-



Fig. 1. The overall pipeline of the bioinformatics analysis. GEO, gene expression omnibus; KP, Klebsiella pneumoniae; PA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

sion value as a data frame; with one column per sample and one row per gene. The filtering procedure is described in detail in the limma user guide (https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/ vignettes/limma/inst/doc/usersguide.pdf).

Data were normalized followed by clustering and correlation analysis to determine the linear relationship between samples. An unsupervised analysis is a good way to determine where the data variation comes from. It can also detect samples that are likely to be outliers. The "cor" function can calculate correlations (on a scale of 0-1) in pairs among all samples. This can then be visualized with a heat map, which is one of the most popular libraries for creating the heatmap in R. The correlation coefficient is determined using correlation analysis and is essential for evaluating how much one sample changes when the other does. We used hierarchical clustering on the microarray expression data for each strain to identify major similarities and differences in the transcriptional response to our panel of strains expressed as fragments per kilobase millions.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed. The major purpose of PCA is to visualize the sample data and classify the samples into two groups. As PCA is an unsupervised method, it does not consider known sample groups. However, we can add labels as we draw the result. The "ggplot2" package was very useful for this. The "ggrepel" package can be used to position text labels more smartly so that they are readable. The volcano plot function is a popular method to visualize the results of a DE analysis. The log-fold change is represented by the X-axis, and the Y-axis is a measure of statistical significance, which was. the logarithmic probability or B statistic. The distinctive shape of the volcano must be seen.

Functional enrichment analysis

Following DEG analysis, to understand the biological process,

molecular function, and cellular component, we have used comparative gene ontology (GO) (https://www.comparativego.com/) here. The molecular pathways and functional analysis of each data set were performed using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Mapper (https://www.genome.jp/kegg/tool/map_pathway1.html). To perform detailed gene list analysis and graphical visualization of enrichment pathways, we used ShinyGO (http://bioinformatics.sdstate.edu/go/) with the following configuration: search for KP and PA species, false discovery rate threshold p < 0.05, number of most significant terms to show 50.^{28,29}

Gene comparison and downstream analysis

Significant genes from each dataset were then compared using BioinfoGP Venny 2.1.0 (http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/index. html). The details of the key genes were then retrieved from MicrobesOnline (http://microbesonline.org) followed by retrieving the details of the drug resistance genes from the Pathogen Detection Microbial Browser to identify the genetic and genomic elements (MicroBIGG-E) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pathogens/microbigge/) of KP and PA and using Pathosystems Resource Integration Center (PATRIC) 3.6.12 (https://www.patricbrc.org/), we retrieved gene resistance mechanisms for common drug resistance genes.

Results

We used different microarray datasets containing 66 samples of both organisms that were retrieved from the GEO database (GSE24688,³⁰ GSE4926,³⁰ and GSE117438⁶), which led to interesting findings regarding the DEGs in the two groups (Fig. 1).

Differential gene expression

We obtained three boxplots after normalizing all three datasets of KP and PA (number of samples: 30, 30, and 6). Previously, the mi-

croarray dataset expression values exceeded the \log_2 scale (>16). The expression value fell between the \log_2 scale (Fig. 2a), making it suitable for further comparison and statistical analysis after normalization.

Sample correlation and hierarchical clustering

The correlation heatmaps (Fig. 2b) show the three datasets of the KA and PA samples. The dendrograms in the heatmaps show closely related samples. The high correlation of the sample is shown by the red color and the low correlation is shown by the blue color. The diagonal red boxes are from identical sample IDs, *i.e.*, highly correlated with values = 1. Despite diagonal boxes, some other boxes are representing the correlation between highly correlated samples. In these heatmaps, the clustering was done based on correlated samples (strains and groups). The mutant group is shown in pink and the wild-type group is shown in sky blue.

Volcano plot of DEGs

The sky blue color shows highly significant genes whereas the red color shows weakly significant genes. Significant *p*-values were <0.5 and the Fc cutoff was 1. Of 3,157 KP genes, we found 1,140 DEGs, of 1,556 PA1 genes we found 94 DEGs, and of 1,556 PA2 genes we found 641 DEGs (sky blue). The second heatmap shows the top 10 DEGs in each dataset (Fig. 2e).

Gene comparison

In this study, the retrieved KP and PA datasets comprised 2,366 and 1,066 total genes. The study observed 569 (19.9%) of the total overlapping genes after comparison of the three datasets of two organisms. As the organisms belong to two different orders, we checked whether they shared significant genes. We had 1,140 significant genes out of 3,157 KP genes, 94 significant genes out of 1,556 PA1 genes, and 641 significant genes out of 1,556 PA2 genes. After comparing all three groups of significant genes (Fig. 2g), we found 10 common significant genes (atpF, fur, ndk, nuoK, ppk, pyrH, rmlC, rnk, rph, and ubiE). Afterward, the study was designed to search the MicroBIGG-E database for drug-resistance genes in both KP and PA and found 746 antibiotic-resistance genes in KP and 684 drugresistance genes in PA.30,31 We compared common genes and found 246 (20.8%) drug resistance genes in both KP and PA, and compared the common KP and PA genes to all of the genes (both significant and nonsignificant) from the three datasets (Fig. 2h), revealing five common antibiotic resistance genes (arsB, arsC, arsR, PhoP, and Pho). Our study identified three ars genes (arsB, arsC, and arsR). The ars operon is the most common resistance mechanism seen in Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, and it can be chromosomally or plasmid-encoded. The PhoP/PhoQ (PhoPQ) found in our study follows the two-component system (TCS) for drug resistance. The PhoPQ TCS system is highly conserved among gram-negative bacteria, whether pathogenic or nonpathogenic (Fig. 3).

GO and pathway enrichment analysis

We used comparative GO as the primary tool for GO, using a controlled vocabulary to identify genes in terms of molecular functions, biological processes, and identified cellular components. To gain insight into the biological roles of the DEGs, we performed GO enrichment on the top 10 highly expressed significant genes in each GEO dataset (Fig. 2f, Tables 2–4), common 10 significant genes of three datasets (Figs. 2g, 4, and Table 5) as well as the top five drug resistance genes in each dataset (Figs. 2h, 5, and Table 6).

More specifically, we found molecular functions, biological processes, and cellular components associated with these key genes. Surprisingly, among the top 10 most abundantly expressed significant KP genes, the *argR* gene is involved in all three major aspects, including molecular functions, DNA binding, DNA-binding transcription factor activity, and arginine binding; biological processes, arginine biosynthetic process and protein complex oligomerization; and a cellular component, cytoplasm. In PA1, none of the genes were enriched in all of the three predefined functional characteristics. In PA2, *putA*, *coxA*, and *coIII* genes are involved in all three major attributes of GO. Likewise, in common 10 significant genes and common five drug resistance genes *pyrH*, *nuoK*, *atpF*, *ppk*, *arsB*, *phoP*, and *phoQ* are involved in the three major areas of GO. All the gene information was retrieved from PATRIC and MicrobesOnline databases (Tables 7 and 8).³²

Molecular pathways and functional analysis were performed with KEGG Mapper. It is a set of KEGG mapping tools available on the KEGG website (https://www.kegg.jp/ or https://www.genome.jp/kegg/) along with the KOALA family of automatic mapping tools KO identifiers (KEGG orthology) available, which were used during mapping. The enrichment results were obtained by analyzing significant genes in each of the three data sets using KEGG Mapper (Supplemental sheets 1, 2, and 3).³³ ShinyGO was used to create a pathway visualization of the common 10 significant genes.

Discussion

Our research focused on determining the expression levels of AMR genes KP and PA to reveal their function and the gene interactions with the potential to enable the bacteria to survive the effects of antibiotics designed to kill them.34 A previous report suggests that the Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain AG1 demonstrated remarkable effectiveness with a hybrid assembly, using both short and long reads. This approach provided valuable insights into the genomic architecture and molecular factors associated with multiresistance and virulence determinants.35 A multiomic approach using the genomic and transcriptomic elements related to antibiotic resistance genes was also studied before in the versatile environmental organism PA (AG1).³⁶ We collected microarray data from the GEO database followed by we detected DEGs based on wild-type and mutant groups from KP and PA samples.³⁷ In this study, the underlying possible cellular processes and metabolic pathways in both of the organisms were analyzed.

Data normalization

Normalization is an essential step for microarray data analysis to eliminate systematic variation that affects the measured gene expression levels.³⁸ Hierarchical clustering was used to group the strain samples, and the dendrogram is displayed at the top of a heatmap that shows the Pearson correlation between each pair of samples.³ Sample identity was shown by two-way color indexing the group and strain. The results demonstrated that KP and PA samples from different groups and strains could be successfully distinguished. Similar findings were reported in the identification of core genes of PA against multiple perturbations by Molina-Mora et al.⁴⁰ The study also discussed various methodological issues related to data analysis, normalization, and classification algorithms. PCA exploratory data analysis results are graphically represented in two-dimensional dot plots of scores of principal components. The total sample was divided into two groups, mutant (red) and wild-type (sky blue) based on different strains of bacterial like the work of Lee et al.41

DEG comparison

Volcano plots are ideal for examining the levels of expression of

Gene Expr



Fig. 2. Different types of gene expression data. (a) Box plot of normalized gene expression data, (b) Representative cluster heat maps of correlation between different strain samples of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* datasets, (c) PCA scatterplot, (d) Volcano plot of all differentially expressed genes, (e) Heatmaps of the top 10 highly expressed significant genes, (f) In-depth analysis of the top 10 highly expressed significant genes, (f) In-depth analysis of the top 10 highly expressed significant genes, (g) In-depth analysis of common significant genes of all three datasets, (h) In-depth analysis of common drug resistance genes. DEG, differentially expressed gene; GEO, gene expression omnibus; KP, *Klebsiella pneumonia*; logFC, log fold change; PA, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; PC, principal component; PCA, principal component analysis; RG, resistance gene.

Klebsiella pneumoniae

Pseudomonas aeruginosa



Fig. 3. Classification of Klebsiella pneumonia and Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

a large number of genes (logFC Vs log-odd). To compare all the genes in the data sets as well as the important AMR genes, Venny online software was used to draw Venn diagrams. MicrobesOnline was used for in-depth analysis of key genes. It provides a community resource for genome comparison and functional analysis like the previous report in PA strain AG1, where 518 DEGs over time, including 14 hub genes, multiple gene clusters, and 15 enriched pathways were identified.⁴² More than 1,000 complete genomes of bacteria, fungi, and archaea, as well as thousands of expression microarrays from various organisms ranging from

Table 2.	GO of the	top 10 highly	expressed	significant	genes of	f Klebsiella	pneumonia
----------	-----------	---------------	-----------	-------------	----------	--------------	-----------

Gene	Molecular function	Biological process	Cellular component
fadH	2,4-dienoyl-CoA reductase Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate Hydrogen (NADPH) activity, Flavin mononucleotide (FMN) binding	-	-
chaB	-	-	-
miaE	tRNA-(2-methylthio-N-6-(cis-hydroxy) isopentenyl adenosine)-hydroxylase activity	-	-
argR	DNA binding, DNA-binding transcription factor activity, arginine binding	Arginine biosynthetic process, protein complex oligomerization	Cytoplasm
moaB	-	Mo-molybdopterin cofactor biosynthetic process	
moaD	Molybdopterin synthase activity	-	-
ybiV	hydrolase activity	-	-
traL	-	Conjugation, pilus assembly	Cell outer membrane, an integral component of the membrane
yacC	-	-	-
yaaA	-	-	-

FMN, flavin mononucleotide; GO, gene ontology; NADPH, Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate Hydrogen.

Gene Expr

Table 3.	GO	of	the	top	10	highly	expressed	signifi	cant	genes	of	PA:	1
----------	----	----	-----	-----	----	--------	-----------	---------	------	-------	----	-----	---

Gene	Molecular function	Biological process	Cellular component
pmrA	DNA binding	Phosphorelay signal transduction system, regulation of transcription, DNA-templated	-
pilH	-	phosphorelay signal transduction system	-
pilG	-	phosphorelay signal transduction system	-
rmlC	dTDP-4-dehydrorhamnose 3,5-epimerase activity	dTDP-rhamnose biosynthetic process	-
cupA1	-	Cell adhesion	Pilus
pmrB	Phosphorelay sensor kinase activity, ATP binding	-	An integral component of the membrane
pilU	ATP binding, endodeoxyribonuclease activity, producing 5'-phosphomonoesters	Type IV pilus-dependent motility	-
ирр	Magnesium ion binding, uracil phosphoribosyltransferase activity, GTP binding	Uracil salvage, nucleoside metabolic process, UMP salvage	-
pilZ	Cyclic-di-GMP binding	-	-
orn	3'-5'-exoribonuclease activity, nucleic acid binding	-	Cytoplasm

ATP, adenosine triphosphate; dTDP, Deoxythymidine diphosphate; GMP, guanosine monophosphate; GTP, guanosine-5'-triphosphate; GO, gene ontology; PA, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; UMP, Uridine Monophosphate.

model organisms, are available through this portal like the previous study.^{43,44} Consequently, with the widespread presence of arsenic in the environment, certain bacteria have evolved arsenic defense mechanisms to digest arsenic. Interestingly we found *Ars* genes (arsenate reduction and methylation), *Aio* genes (arsenate oxidase), and *Arr* genes (arsenate respiration) are the most common genes involved in arsenic metabolism pathways.⁴⁵ Five (*Ars RDABC*) or three (*ArsRBC*) genes are found in the two most prevalent kinds of these operons produce three proteins, (1) an arsenate permease (arsB), (2) an arsenate reductase (arsC), and (3) a regulatory protein (arsR), which transforms arsenate to arsenite.^{46,47} The phosphate transporter (Pit system) transports ar-

Table 4. GO of the top 10 highly expressed significant genes of PA1

Genes	Molecular function	Biological process	Cellular component
mmsB	3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase activity, oxidoreductase activity, acting on the CH-OH group of donors, NAD or NADP as acceptor, NADP binding, NAD binding	Valine catabolic process	-
catA	ferric iron binding, catechol 1,2-dioxygenase activity	Catechol-containing compound catabolic process	-
putA	DNA binding, DNA-binding transcription factor activity, 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate dehydrogenase activity, proline dehydrogenase activity, oxidoreductase activity, acting on the aldehyde or oxo group of donors, NAD or NADP as acceptor	Proline metabolic process, proline biosynthetic process, proline catabolic process to glutamate	Cytoplasmic side of plasma membrane
antA	Iron ion binding, dioxygenase activity, 2 iron, 2 sulfur cluster binding	Cellular metabolic process	-
catC	Muconolactone delta-isomerase activity	Beta-ketoadipate pathway	-
coxA	Cytochrome-c oxidase activity, heme binding, metal ion binding	Oxidative phosphorylation, aerobic respiration, electron transport coupled proton transport, respiratory electron transport chain	The plasma membrane, an integral component of the membrane
gcvT2	Aminomethyltransferase activity, transaminase activity	Glycine catabolic process	Cytosol
pra	-	-	-
pcaC	Peroxiredoxin activity	-	-
colli	Cytochrome-c oxidase activity, electron transfer activity, oxidoreduction-driven active transmembrane transporter activity	Aerobic respiration, aerobic electron transport chain	The plasma membrane, an integral component of the membrane

CH-OH, Methanol; GO, gene ontology; NAD, Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; NADP, Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; PA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Gene Expr

Sahoo S. et al: Antibiotic resistance: Transcriptional analysis of two superbugs



Fig. 4. GO: Quick recap of 10 common genes. ATP, adenosine triphosphate; CTP, Cytidine triphosphate; dTDP, Deoxythymidine diphosphate; GO, gene ontology; GTP, guanosine-5'-triphosphate; ICM, integral component of membrane; PK, polyphosphate kinase; PM, Plasma Membrane; UTP, Uridine-5'-triphosphate.

senate (As V) into the cell, which is then reduced to arsenite (As III) by a cytoplasmic arsenate reductase enzyme encoded by the *Ars C* gene. The As (III)-specific transmembrane protein arsB, produced by the *Ars B* gene, then effluxes this (As III) from cells via the chemiosmotic gradient.^{48,49}

The sensor kinase PhoQ and the response regulator PhoP make up the PhoPQ TCS.⁵⁰ PhoQ can autophosphorylate in response to a variety of environmental signals, including low Mg2+ and Ca2+ levels, acidic pH, the presence of cationic antimicrobial peptides, and osmotic upshift. PhoP receives the phosphate from phosphorylated PhoQ, and activated PhoP regulates the expression of downstream genes (PhoP regulon). Despite the fact that PhoQs in different microorganisms can detect the same or similar environmental signals, the regulons activated by phosphorylated PhoP vary between bacteria species.⁵¹ PhoPQ systems of human pathogens are involved in polymyxin resistance, low-magnesium adaptation, virulence, and acid tolerance. Due to the extensive coverage of the PhoP regulon, the loss of PhoPQ function has a pleiotropic effect on a bacterium.52 The research highlights the difference between overlapping drug-resistant genes and overlapping significant genes. These dissimilarities are likely owing to the evolution of genes from a common ancestor with similar functions, which have undergone mutations and changes in their sequences and functions over time.53,54

GO and pathway enrichment analysis

Graphs and integrated them into graph reports are very important for visualizing and comparing GO groups in several samples, including those from bacterial pathogens, synchronously from different sources.^{55,56} The gene information was retrieved from the PATRIC and MicrobesOnline databases (Tables 7 and 8).³² It is a Shiny application built with many R/Bioconductor programs and a large annotation and pathway database extracted from a variety of sources.^{28,29} It is intended for in-depth gene list analysis, with graphical enrichment visualization and pathway analysis (*p*-value < 0.05). The hierarchical clustering tree summarizes the relationship between the significant pathways listed in the enrichment tab. Pathways with a high number of shared genes are grouped and *p*-values with larger dots are more significant. The interactive plot, like the tree tab, represents the relationship between enriched pathways. If two pathways (nodes) share 20% (default) or more genes, they are interconnected. In our study, the gene sets are significantly enriched in darker nodes. Larger nodes correspond to larger gene sets. More overlapping genes are represented by thicker edges (Fig. 6a, b).²⁹

In clinical application, we found that arsenic resistance genes (arsB, arsC, and arsR) in multidrug-resistant KP and PA can be valuable for researchers in several ways to develop therapeutic use. Salam et al.⁵⁷ in 2020 studied arsenic resistance genes provided insights into cross-resistance mechanisms between arsenic and antibiotics. The investigators can potentially discover novel drug targets or pathways, offering promising therapeutic avenues to combat multidrug resistance effectively.^{57,58} Linking to our findings the arsenic resistance genes can be used in target identification for drug development. Those structural similarities gene with efflux pump protein can be taken for new drug development. Inhibiting these genes or proteins could potentially restore sensitivity to antibiotics in multidrug-resistant strains.⁵⁹ Recently, Venturini et al.60 focused on these genes and suggested clinicians can use them as diagnostic markers to identify multidrug-resistant strains of KP and PA, enabling informed decisions on selecting appropriate antibiotic treatments for better patient outcomes. In personalized treatment strategies, some of the MDR genes also contribute to allowing tailored treatment based on the

Gene Expr

Table 5. GO enrichment on the common 10 genes of KP and	I PA
---	------

Gene	Molecular function	Biological process	Cellular component
pyrH	ATP binding, UMP kinase activity	De novo CTP biosynthetic process	Cytoplasm
Fur	DNA binding, DNA-binding transcription factor activity, metal ion binding	-	Cytoplasm
ubiE	2-octaprenyl-6-methoxy-1,4-benzoquinone methylase activity, demethylmenaquinone methyltransferase activity, S-adenosylmethionine:2-demethylquinol-8 methyltransferase activity, S-adenosylmethionine:2-demethylmenaquinol methyltransferase activity, S-adenosylmethionine:2- demethylmenaquinol-7 methyltransferase activity	Ubiquinone biosynthetic process, aerobic respiration, menaquinone biosynthetic process, methylation	-
Ndk	Nucleoside diphosphate kinase activity, ATP binding, metal ion binding	GTP biosynthetic process, UTP biosynthetic process, CTP biosynthetic process	Cytoplasm
nuok	Quinone binding, NADH dehydrogenase (quinone) activity	ATP synthesis coupled electron transport	The plasma membrane, an integral component of the membrane
Rnk	DNA binding, kinase activity, RNA polymerase binding	Regulation of DNA- templated transcription, elongation	-
Rph	tRNA binding, 3'-5'-exoribonuclease activity, tRNA nucleotidyltransferase activity	rRNA processing, tRNA processing, rRNA catabolic process	-
atpF	Hydrolase activity, proton-transporting ATP synthase activity, rotational mechanism	ATP synthesis coupled with proton transport	The plasma membrane, an integral component of the membrane, proton-transporting ATP synthase complex, coupling factor F(o)
rmlC	dTDP-4-dehydrorhamnose 3,5-epimerase activity	dTDP-rhamnose biosynthetic process	-
ppk	ATP binding, polyphosphate kinase activity, metal ion binding	polyphosphate biosynthetic process	Polyphosphate kinase complex

ATP, adenosine triphosphate; CTP, Cytidine triphosphate; dTDP, Deoxythymidine diphosphate; GO, gene ontology; GTP, guanosine-5'-triphosphate; KP, Klebsiella pneumonia; NADH, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; PA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; UMP, Uridine Monophosphate; UTP, Uridine-5'-triphosphate.

genetic characteristics of the infecting strain, thereby optimizing patient outcomes. The two-component system genes, phoP, and phoQ, have a crucial role in the multidrug resistance of KP and PA, modulating cellular processes essential for antibiotic resistance. Their detection as diagnostic markers can help identify multidrug-resistant strains, guiding informed treatment decisions. Additionally, targeting these genes presents a promising approach for drug development, offering potential new therapies, while combination strategies may enhance the efficacy of existing antibiotics against these challenging pathogens, ultimately combating multidrug resistance and improving patient outcomes.⁶¹ This study explored the genetic basis of drug resistance in KP and PA, two dangerous superbugs responsible for life-threatening infections, including those acquired in hospitals and during the COVID-19 pandemic. The findings offer promising prospects for diagnostics, treatment, and combating antibiotic resistance, aiming to enhance intensive care unit (ICU) patient outcomes and global public health. This ground-breaking study delves into the intricate genetic mechanisms underlying drug resistance in KP and PA, formidable pathogens linked to severe hospital-acquired infections and COVID-19 vulnerability. By analyzing extensive RNA microarray data from multiple datasets, the research reveals 10 shared significant genes and five drug-resistance genes, holding potential for future diagnostics and treatment strategies. These valuable insights aid in combating AMR, fortifying ICU patient care, and bolstering global efforts against life-threatening infectious diseases caused by these two superbugs.

Conclusion

We observed interesting phenomena of nonsimilarity between common significant genes and common drug resistance genes that led to conclusions about genetic divergence due to which its physical properties have been changed but chemically involved in similar drug resistance mechanisms. Our study used a robust integrative bioinformatics analysis of microarray data to investigate the DEG of AMR superbugs. Through a comparative analysis supported by functional enrichment results, diverse pathways and distinct biological processes, molecular function, and cellular components were highlighted. Interestingly, we observed 10 common genes in all three datasets that are highly expressed and significant, five genes that are present in both KP and PA and are involved in drug resistance in both superbugs. Our findings provide a detailed account of the drug resistance gene's diverGene Expr

Sahoo S. et al: Antibiotic resistance: Transcriptional analysis of two superbugs



Fig. 5. GO: Quick recap of five common drug-resistance genes. ATP, adenosine triphosphate; DNA-T, DNA- templated; GO, gene ontology; PAPB, Positive regulation of phospholipid biosynthesis; PTS, phosphorelay transduction system; RACS, response to arsenic-containing substance; RT, regulation of transcription.

gent evolution, which could open new vistas for MDR prognosis and can be informative in antimicrobial therapeutics research. The candidate gene approach can be used to validate AMR to know the potential interesting association patterns with resistance mechanisms.

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to the Department of Biotechnology and Department of Computer Application, Siksha O Anusandhan University and Genetic and Genomic Laboratory, SoAS, Centurion University of Technology and Management for providing all the facilities and laboratory assets.

Table 6.	GO	enrichment	of	the	five	common	genes	of KP	and PA
----------	----	------------	----	-----	------	--------	-------	-------	--------

Funding

There is nothing to declare.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted without any potential conflict of interest.

Author contributions

Study concept and design (SS, SPR, JNM, SS, and TS), database organization (SS, SPR, and JNM), Bioinformatics analysis of the

Gene	Molecular function	Biological process	Cellular component
arsB	Arsenite secondary active transmembrane transporter activity, antimonite secondary active transmembrane transporter activity	Arsenite transport, response to arsenic- containing substance	An integral component of the plasma membrane, an integral component of the membrane
arsC	-	-	-
arsR	Transcription regulatory region sequence-specific DNA binding, DNA-binding transcription factor activity	Regulation of transcription, DNA- templated	-
phoP	Phosphorelay sensor kinase activity, phosphorelay response regulator activity, transcription regulatory region sequence- specific DNA binding, DNA-binding transcription activator activity, DNA-binding transcription factor activity	Positive regulation of phospholipid biosynthetic process	Cytosol, protein-DNA complex
phoQ	ATP binding	Phosphorelay signal transduction system	The plasma membrane, an integral component of the membrane

ATP, adenosine triphosphate; GO, gene ontology; KP, Klebsiella pneumonia; PA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Gene name	Organism	Туре	GC content	Position
pyrH	КР	Protein, 241 a.a	55.37%	217570 218295 (+) on NC_009648 genomic chromosome 5
pyrH	PA	Protein, 245 a.a.	63.55%	4092231 4092968 (–) on NC_002516 genomic chromosome 1
Fur	КР	Protein, 150 a.a	52.32%	792692 793144 (–) on NC_009648 genomic chromosome 5
Fur	PA	Protein, 134 a.a.	60.25%	5351675 5352079 (–) on NC_002516 genomic chromosome 1
ubiE	КР	Protein, 251 a.a.	53.31%	4738750 4739505 (+) on NC_009648 genomic chromosome 5
ubiE	PA	Protein, 256 a.a.	64.46%	5702669 5703439 (+) on NC_002516 genomic chromosome 1
Ndk	KP	Protein, 143 a.a.	56.25%	3126833 3127264 (–) on NC_009648 genomic chromosome 5
Ndk	PA	Protein, 143 a.a.	61.11%	4266470 4266901 (–) on NC_002516 genomic chromosome 1
nuoK	КР	Protein, 100 a.a.	56.44%	2939557 2939859 (–) on NC_009648 genomic chromosome 5
nuoK	PA	Protein, 102 a.a.	64.40%	2992548 2992856 (+) on NC_002516 genomic chromosome 1
Rnk	КР	Protein, 140 a.a.	61.23%	741607 742029 (–) on NC_009648 genomic chromosome 5
Rnk	PA	Protein, 134 a.a.	68.15%	5940020 5940424 (–) on NC_002516 genomic chromosome 1
Rph	KP	Protein, 238 a.a.	59.55%	4365064 4365780 (–) on NC_009648 genomic chromosome 5
Rph	PA	Protein, 239 a.a.	67.50%	6003377 6004096 (–) on NC_002516 genomic chromosome 1
atpF	KP	Protein, 154 a.a.	51.40%	4537292 4537756 (-) on NC_009648 genomic chromosome 5
atpF	PA	Protein, 156 a.a.	60.08%	6252707 6253177 (–) on NC_002516 genomic chromosome 1
rmIC	КР	Protein, 184 a.a.	55.86%	2715683 2716237 (-) on NC_009648 genomic chromosome 5
rmIC	PA	Protein, 181 a.a.	64.29%	5813122 5813667 (+) on NC_002516 genomic chromosome 1
Ppk	КР	Protein, 686 a.a.	54.00%	3097224 3099284 (+) on NC_009648 genomic chromosome 5
Ppk	PA	Protein, 736 a.a.	63.86%	5902386 5904596 (–) on NC_002516 genomic chromosome 1

Table 7. Details of 10 common genes

a.a., amino acid; GC, guanine-cytosine; KP, Klebsiella pneumonia; PA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Table 8. Details of five common drug resistance gen	five common drug resistance genes
---	-----------------------------------

Gene	Organ- ism	Туре	GC content	Position	Resistance mechanism
arsB	КР	Protein, 430 a.a	60.79%	3625438 3626730 (–) on NC_009648 genomic chromosome 5	Efflux pump
arsB	PA	Protein, 427 a.a.	69.70%	2506978 2508261 (+) on NC_002516 genomic chromosome 1	Efflux pump
arsC	КР	Protein, 140 a.a.	60.76%	3625006 3625428 (–) on NC_009648 genomic chromosome 5	Efflux pump
arsC	PA	Protein, 156 a.a.	67.94%	2508293 2508763 (+) on NC_002516 genomic chromosome 1	Efflux pump
arsR	КР	Protein, 109 a.a.	60.91%	3626782 3627111 (–) on NC_009648 genomic chromosome 5	Efflux pump
arsR	PA	Protein, 116 a.a.	69.23%	2506614 2506964 (+) on NC_002516 genomic chromosome 1	Efflux pump
phoP	КР	Protein, 223 a.a.	59.52%	1292135 1292806 (–) on NC_009648 genomic chromosome 5	Efflux pump, gene-altering cell wall
phoP	PA	Protein, 225 a.a.	66.22%	1277688 1278365 (+) on NC_002516 genomic chromosome 1	Efflux pump, cell wall alteration
phoQ	КР	Protein, 488 a.a.	58.62%	1290669 1292135 (–) on NC_009648 genomic chromosome 5	Drug target, two-component system, virulence, regulation of gene expression
phoQ	PA	Protein, 448 a.a.	68.37%	1278362 1279708 (+) on NC_002516 genomic chromosome 1	Efflux pump, gene-altering cell wall

a.a., amino acid; GC, guanine-cytosine.

Gene Expr

Sahoo S. et al: Antibiotic resistance: Transcriptional analysis of two superbugs





data (SS and SPR), drafting of the manuscript (SS, SPR, JNM, SS, and TS). JNM with JD and all authors revised the manuscript critically and approved the version to be published.

Data sharing statement

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/16rGSB2n1EOa_gNFPjr-he3zI5gpbAER40oCAkc-c-AIg/edit#gid=0

References

- [1] Dhingra S, Rahman NAA, Peile E, Rahman M, Sartelli M, Hassali MA, et al. Microbial resistance movements: an overview of global public health threats posed by antimicrobial resistance, and how best to counter. Front Public Health 2020;8:535668. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2020.535668, PMID:33251170.
- [2] Wernli D, Jørgensen PS, Harbarth S, Carroll SP, Laxminarayan R, Levrat N, et al. Antimicrobial resistance: The complex challenge of measurement to inform policy and the public. PLoS Med 2017;14(8):e1002378. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002378, PMID:28817562.
- [3] Kanj SS, Bassetti M, Kiratisin P, Rodrigues C, Villegas MV, Yu Y, et al. Clinical data from studies involving novel antibiotics to treat multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacterial infections. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2022;60(3):106633. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2022.106633, PMID:35787918.
- [4] Getahun H, Smith I, Trivedi K, Paulin S, Balkhy HH. Tackling antimicrobial resistance in the COVID-19 pandemic. Bull World Health Organ 2020;98(7):442–442A. doi:10.2471/BLT.20.268573, PMID:32742026.
- [5] De Oliveira DMP, Forde BM, Kidd TJ, Harris PNA, Schembri MA, Beatson SA, et al. Antimicrobial Resistance in ESKAPE Pathogens. Clin Microbiol Rev 2020;33(3):e00181–19. doi:10.1128/CMR.00181-19, PMID:32404435.
- [6] Theuretzbacher U, Bush K, Harbarth S, Paul M, Rex JH, Tacconelli E, et al. Critical analysis of antibacterial agents in clinical development. Nat Rev Microbiol 2020;18(5):286–298. doi:10.1038/s41579-020-0340-0, PMID:32152509.
- [7] Coates ARM, Hu Y, Holt J, Yeh P. Antibiotic combination therapy against resistant bacterial infections: synergy, rejuvenation and resistance reduction. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2020;18(1):5–15. doi: 10.1080/14787210.2020.1705155, PMID:31847614.
- [8] Derbyshire EJ, Calder PC. Respiratory tract infections and antibiotic resistance: a protective role for vitamin D? Front Nutr 2021;8:652469. doi:10.3389/fnut.2021.652469, PMID:33842525.
- [9] Munteanu AC, Uivarosi V. Ruthenium complexes in the fight against pathogenic microorganisms. An Extensive Review. Pharmaceu-

tics 2021;13(6):874. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics13060874, PMID: 34199283.

- [10] Behera B, Sahu K, Bhoi P, Mohanty J. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of bacteria in ICU patients with lower respiratory tract infection: A cross-sectional study. J Acute Dis 2020;9(4):157. doi:10.4103/2221-6189.288593.
- [11] Aslam B, Wang W, Arshad MI, Khurshid M, Muzammil S, Rasool MH, *et al*. Antibiotic resistance: a rundown of a global crisis. Infect Drug Resist 2018;11:1645–1658. doi:10.2147/IDR.S173867, PMID:30349322.
- [12] Levy SB, Marshall B. Antibacterial resistance worldwide: causes, challenges and responses. Nat Med 2004;10(12 Suppl):S122–S129. doi:10.1038/nm1145, PMID:15577930.
- [13] Reygaert WC. An overview of the antimicrobial resistance mechanisms of bacteria. AIMS Microbiol 2018;4(3):482–501. doi:10.3934/ microbiol.2018.3.482, PMID:31294229.
- [14] Zhou Y, Lei Y, Cao Z, Chen X, Sun Y, Xu Y, et al. A β-defensin gene of Trachinotus ovatus might be involved in the antimicrobial and antiviral immune response. Dev Comp Immunol 2019;92:105–115. doi:10.1016/j.dci.2018.11.011, PMID:30448509.
- [15] Su K, Zhou X, Luo M, Xu X, Liu P, Li X, et al. Genome-wide identification of genes regulated by RcsA, RcsB, and RcsAB phosphorelay regulators in Klebsiella pneumoniae NTUH-K2044. Microb Pathog 2018;123:36– 41. doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2018.06.036, PMID:29944890.
- [16] Andonova M, Urumova V. Immune surveillance mechanisms of the skin against the stealth infection strategy of Pseudomonas aeruginosa-review. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 2013;36(5):433–448. doi:10.1016/j.cimid.2013.03.003, PMID:23602428.
- [17] Cao H, Lai Y, Bougouffa S, Xu Z, Yan A. Comparative genome and transcriptome analysis reveals distinctive surface characteristics and unique physiological potentials of Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853. BMC Genomics 2017;18(1):459. doi:10.1186/s12864-017-3842-z, PMID:28606056.
- [18] Havenga B, Ndlovu T, Clements T, Reyneke B, Waso M, Khan W. Exploring the antimicrobial resistance profiles of WHO critical priority list bacterial strains. BMC Microbiol 2019;19(1):303. doi:10.1186/ s12866-019-1687-0, PMID:31870288.
- [19] Kim IW, Kim JH, Han N, Kim S, Kim YS, Oh JM. Gene expression profiles for predicting antibody-mediated kidney allograft rejection: Analysis of GEO datasets. Int J Mol Med 2018;42(4):2303–2311. doi:10.3892/ ijmm.2018.3798, PMID:30066908.
- [20] Natarajan J, Rajendran S. A Comparative study of differentially expressed gene selection programs for microarray data mining, Transcriptomic Analysis of S.aureus to identify genes and pathways associated with Virulence, Antibiotic resistance and Biofilm formation View project Relation Extraction View project. Int J Genomics Proteomics 2009;5(2):1–6.
- [21] Mohanty JN, Sahoo S, Routray SP, Bhuyan R. Does the diverse source

of miRNAs affect human health? An approach towards diagnosis and therapeutic management. Gene Reports 2022;28:28101656. doi: 10.1016/j.genrep.2022.101656.

- [22] Chen Z, Chen H, Yu L, Xin H, Kong J, Bai Y, et al. Bioinformatic identification of key pathways, hub genes, and microbiota for therapeutic intervention in Helicobacter pylori infection. J Cell Physiol 2021;236(2):1158–1183. doi:10.1002/jcp.29925, PMID:32710499.
- [23] Said-Salman IH, Jebaii FA, Yusef HH, Moustafa ME. Global gene expression analysis of Escherichia coli K-12 DH5α after exposure to 2.4 GHz wireless fidelity radiation. Sci Rep 2019;9(1):14425. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-51046-7, PMID:31595026.
- [24] Kumar BA, Thankappan B, Jayaraman A, Gupta A. Evaluation of antibiotic tolerance in pseudomonas aeruginosa for aminoglycosides and its predicted gene regulations through in-silico transcriptomic analysis. Microbio Res 2021;12(3):630–645. doi:10.3390/microbiolres12030045.
- [25] Shaikh I, Ansari A, Ayachit G, Gandhi M, Sharma P, Bhairappanavar S, et al. Differential gene expression analysis of HNSCC tumors deciphered tobacco dependent and independent molecular signatures. Oncotarget 2019;10(58):6168–6183. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.27249, PMID:31692905.
- [26] Zeng C, Hou ZS, Zhao HK, Xin YR, Liu MQ, Yang XD, et al. Identification and characterization of caspases genes in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) and their expression profiles after Aeromonas salmonicida and Vibrio anguillarum infection. Dev Comp Immunol 2021;118:103987. doi:10.1016/j.dci.2020.103987, PMID:33359598.
- [27] Zhou LT, Zhang ZJ, Cao JY, Chen H, Zhu YS, Wu X, et al. The unique molecular mechanism of diabetic nephropathy: a bioinformatics analysis of over 250 microarray datasets. Clin Kidney J 2021;14(6):1626– 1638. doi:10.1093/ckj/sfaa190, PMID:34084458.
- [28] Ebrahimi Sadrabadi A, Bereimipour A, Jalili A, Gholipurmalekabadi M, Farhadihosseinabadi B, Seifalian AM. The risk of pancreatic adenocarcinoma following SARS-CoV family infection. Sci Rep 2021;11(1):12948. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-92068-4, PMID:34155232.
- [29] Kowtharapu BS, Damaraju J, Singh NK, Ziebart J, Bader R, Koczan D, et al. Analysis of the differential gene and protein expression profiles of corneal epithelial cells stimulated with alternating current electric fields. Genes (Basel) 2021;12(2):299. doi:10.3390/genes12020299, PMID:33672614.
- [30] Campos-Madueno El, Sigrist T, Flückiger UM, Risch L, Bodmer T, Endimiani A. First report of a bla(VIM-1) metallo-β-lactamasepossessing Klebsiella michiganensis. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 2021;25:310–314. doi:10.1016/j.jgar.2021.03.027, PMID:33957287.
- [31] Kyriakidis I, Vasileiou E, Pana ZD, Tragiannidis A. Acinetobacter baumannii Antibiotic Resistance Mechanisms. Pathogens 2021;10(3):373. doi:10.3390/pathogens10030373, PMID:33808905.
- [32] Mbanga J, Amoako DG, Abia Akebe LK, Fatoba D, Essack S. Genomic analysis of antibiotic-resistant Enterobacter spp. from wastewater sources in South Africa: The first report of the mobilisable colistin resistance mcr-10 gene in Africa. Eco Genet Genomics 2021;21:100104. doi:10.1016/j.egg.2021.100104.
- [33] Thomas DM, Kannabiran C, Balasubramanian D. Identification of key genes and pathways in persistent hyperplastic primary vitreous of the eye using bioinformatic analysis. Front Med (Lausanne) 2021;8:690594. doi:10.3389/fmed.2021.690594, PMID:34485332.
- [34] Abdelaziz SM, Aboshanab KM, Yahia IS, Yassien MA, Hassouna NA. Correlation between the antibiotic resistance genes and susceptibility to antibiotics among the carbapenem-resistant gram-negative pathogens. Antibiotics 2021;10(3):255. doi:10.3390/antibiotics10030255, PMID:33806340.
- [35] Molina-Mora JA, Campos-Sánchez R, Rodríguez C, Shi L, García F. High quality 3C de novo assembly and annotation of a multidrug resistant ST-111 Pseudomonas aeruginosa genome: Benchmark of hybrid and non-hybrid assemblers. Sci Rep 2020;10(1):1392. doi:10.1038/ s41598-020-58319-6, PMID:31996747.
- [36] Molina-Mora JA, García F. Molecular Determinants of antibiotic resistance in the costa rican pseudomonas aeruginosa ag1 by a multi-omics approach: a review of 10 years of study. Phenomics 2021;1(3):129– 142. doi:10.1007/s43657-021-00016-z, PMID:35233560.
- [37] Mikhail S, Singh NB, Kebriaei R, Rice SA, Stamper KC, Castanheira M, et al. Evaluation of the synergy of ceftazidime-avibactam in combina-

tion with meropenem, amikacin, aztreonam, colistin, or fosfomycin against well-characterized multidrug-resistant klebsiella pneumoniae and pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2019;63(8):e00779–19. doi:10.1128/AAC.00779-19, PMID:31182535.

- [38] Müller C, Schillert A, Röthemeier C, Trégouët DA, Proust C, Binder H, et al. Removing batch effects from longitudinal gene expression – quantile normalization plus combat as best approach for microarray transcriptome data. PLoS One 2016;11(6):e0156594. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0156594, PMID:27272489.
- [39] Tawfik MM, Bertelsen M, Abdel-Rahman MA, Strong PN, Miller K. Scorpion venom antimicrobial peptides induce siderophore biosynthesis and oxidative stress responses in escherichia coli. mSphere 2021;6(3):e00267–21. doi:10.1128/mSphere.00267-21, PMID:339 80680.
- [40] Molina Mora JA, Montero-Manso P, García-Batán R, Campos-Sánchez R, Vilar-Fernández J, García F. A first perturbome of Pseudomonas aeruginosa: Identification of core genes related to multiple perturbations by a machine learning approach. Biosystems 2021;205:104411. doi:10.1016/j.biosystems.2021.104411, PMID:33757842.
- [41] Lee H, Popodi E, Tang H, Foster PL. Rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous mutations in the bacterium Escherichia coli as determined by whole-genome sequencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012;109(41):E2774–E2783. doi:10.1073/pnas.1210309109, PMID: 22991466.
- [42] Molina-Mora JA, Chinchilla-Montero D, Chavarría-Azofeifa M, Ulloa-Morales AJ, Campos-Sánchez R, Mora-Rodríguez R, *et al.* Transcriptomic determinants of the response of ST-111 Pseudomonas aeruginosa AG1 to ciprofloxacin identified by a top-down systems biology approach. Sci Rep 2020;10(1):13717. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-70581-2, PMID:32792590.
- [43] Zhang Y, De S, Garner JR, Smith K, Wang SA, Becker KG. Systematic analysis, comparison, and integration of disease based human genetic association data and mouse genetic phenotypic information. BMC Med Genomics 2010;3:1. doi:10.1186/1755-8794-3-1, PMID:20092628.
- [44] Zhang Y, Qin C, Yang L, Lu R, Zhao X, Nie G. A comparative genomics study of carbohydrate/glucose metabolic genes: from fish to mammals. BMC Genomics 2018;19(1):246. doi:10.1186/s12864-018-4647-4, PMID:29642853.
- [45] Romo V, Elda K. Methylation of arsenic by single-species and soilderived microbial cultures. Lausanne: EPFL; 2020;151:EPFL_TH7638. doi:10.5075/epfl-thesis-7638.
- [46] Ben Fekih I, Zhang C, Li YP, Zhao Y, Alwathnani HA, Saquib Q, et al. Distribution of arsenic resistance genes in prokaryotes. Front Microbiol 2018;9:2473. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.02473, PMID:30405552.
- [47] Touw DS, Nordman CE, Stuckey JA, Pecoraro VL. Identifying important structural characteristics of arsenic resistance proteins by using designed three-stranded coiled coils. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104(29):11969–11974. doi:10.1073/pnas.0701979104, PMID: 17609383.
- [48] Firrincieli A, Presentato A, Favoino G, Marabottini R, Allevato E, Stazi SR, et al. Identification of resistance genes and response to arsenic in rhodococcus aetherivorans BCP1. Front Microbiol 2019;10:888. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.00888, PMID:31133997.
- [49] Ordóñez E, Letek M, Valbuena N, Gil JA, Mateos LM. Analysis of genes involved in arsenic resistance in Corynebacterium glutamicum ATCC 13032. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005;71(10):6206–6215. doi:10.1128/ AEM.71.10.6206-6215.2005, PMID:16204540.
- [50] Yang B, Liu C, Pan X, Fu W, Fan Z, Jin Y, et al. Identification of novel PhoP-PhoQ regulated genes that contribute to polymyxin b tolerance in pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microorganisms 2021;9(2):344. doi:10.3390/microorganisms9020344, PMID:33572426.
- [51] Murtha AN, Kazi MI, Schargel RD, Cross T, Fihn C, Cattoir V, et al. High-level carbapenem tolerance requires antibiotic-induced outer membrane modifications. PLoS Pathog 2022;18(2):e1010307. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1010307, PMID:35130322.
- [52] Zong Z, Feng Y, McNally A. Carbapenem and Colistin Resistance in Enterobacter: Determinants and Clones. Trends Microbiol 2021;29(6):473– 476. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2020.12.009, PMID:33431326.
- [53] Labbé P, McTaggart SJ, Little TJ. An ancient immunity gene duplication in Daphnia magna: RNA expression and sequence analysis of two

nitric oxide synthase genes. Dev Comp Immunol 2009;33(9):1000–1010. doi:10.1016/j.dci.2009.04.006, PMID:19416737.

- [54] Li L, Cardoso JCR, Félix RC, Mateus AP, Canário AVM, Power DM. Fish lysozyme gene family evolution and divergent function in early development. Dev Comp Immunol 2021;114:103772. doi:10.1016/j. dci.2020.103772, PMID:32730854.
- [55] Bazurto JV, Riazi S, D'Alton S, Deatherage DE, Bruger EL, Barrick JE, et al. Global transcriptional response of methylorubrum extorquens to formaldehyde stress expands the role of efga and is distinct from antibiotic translational inhibition. Microorganisms 2021;9(2):347. doi:10.3390/microorganisms9020347, PMID:33578755.
- [56] Zhang X, Fu Z, Zhang X. TP53 mutation related and directly regulated lncRNA prognosis markers in hepatocellular carcinoma. Onco Targets Ther 2021;14:4427–4437. doi:10.2147/OTT.S321669, PMID:344 08439.
- [57] Sahoo S, Mohanty JN, Behera TR, Lenka RK, Das SR. Global concern of antimicrobial effectiveness and resistance combat strategies. J Med Pharmaceut Allied Sci 2022;11(3):1874. doi:10.55522/jmpas.

V11I3.1874.

- [58] Salam LB. Unravelling the antibiotic and heavy metal resistome of a chronically polluted soil. 3 Biotech 2020;10(6):238. doi:10.1007/ s13205-020-02219-z, PMID:32405442.
- [59] Zagui GS, Moreira NC, Santos DV, Darini ALC, Domingo JL, Segura-Muñoz SI, et al. High occurrence of heavy metal tolerance genes in bacteria isolated from wastewater: A new concern? Environ Res 2021;196:110352. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2020.110352, PMID:33098821.
- [60] Venturini C, Bowring B, Partridge SR, Ben Zakour NL, Fajardo-Lubian A, Lopez Ayala A, et al. Co-occurrence of multidrug resistant klebsiella pneumoniae pathogenic clones of human relevance in an equine pneumonia case. Microbiol Spectr 2022;10(3):e0215821. doi:10.1128/spectrum.02158-21, PMID:35579468.
- [61] Gangathraprabhu B, Kannan S, Santhanam G, Suryadevara N, Maruthamuthu M. A review on the origin of multidrug-resistant Salmonella and perspective of tailored phoP gene towards avirulence. Microb Pathog 2020;147:104352. doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2020.104352, PMID:32592823.